

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/531,088	HIGANO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert J. Sandy	3677	

All Participants:

- (1) Robert J. Sandy.
- (2) Terrence Chapman (Reg. No. 32,549).

Status of Application: _____

- (3) _____
- (4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 July 2007

Time: 1:24 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

12, 14, 15, 19, 21 and 22.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussion and authorization to correct claim language in claims 12, 14, 15, 19, 21 and 21, as indicated in the Examiner's amendment mailed with this paper. Authorization was given by applicant's representative (Mr. Chapman) by telephone on 11 July 2007. .